

Tulsa Law Review

Volume 43

Issue 2 *Daubert, Innocence, and the Future of Forensic Science*

Article 14

Winter 2007

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.law.utulsa.edu/tlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 43 Tulsa L. Rev. iii (2013).

Available at: <http://digitalcommons.law.utulsa.edu/tlr/vol43/iss2/14>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by TU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Tulsa Law Review by an authorized editor of TU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact daniel-bell@utulsa.edu.

TULSA LAW REVIEW

Volume 43

Winter 2007

Number 2

SYMPOSIUM:

DAUBERT, INNOCENCE, AND THE FUTURE OF FORENSIC SCIENCE

Symposium Foreword	<i>Jane Campbell Moriarty</i>	229
Graduate Education in Forensic Science	<i>Robert W. Allen Jarrad R. Wagner</i>	235
Circumventing <i>Daubert</i> in the Gene Pool	<i>Erica Beecher-Monas</i>	241
Toward Evidence-Based Evidence: Supporting Forensic Knowledge Claims in the Post- <i>Daubert</i> Era	<i>Simon A. Cole</i>	263
Increasing Forensic Evidence's Reliability and Minimizing Wrongful Convictions: Applying <i>Daubert</i> Isn't the Only Problem	<i>Craig M. Cooley Gabriel S. Oberfield</i>	285
(Why) Are Civil and Criminal Expert Evidence Different?	<i>Déirdre Dwyer</i>	381
Until We Fix the Labs and Fund Criminal Defendants: Fighting Bad Science with Storytelling	<i>Brian J. Foley</i>	397
Meeting the Challenges of the <i>Daubert</i> Trilogy: Refining and Redefining the Reliability of Forensic Evidence	<i>Mara L. Merlino Victoria Springer, Jan Seaman Kelly Derek Hammond, Eric Sahota, Lori Haines</i>	417
Goodbye to All That, or A Fool's Errand, by One of the Fools: How I Stopped Worrying about Court Responses to Handwriting Identification (and "Forensic Science" in General) and Learned to Love Misinterpretations of <i>Kumho Tire v. Carmichael</i>	<i>D. Michael Risinger</i>	447

Appendix: Cases Involving the Reliability of Handwriting Identification Expertise since the Decision in <i>Daubert</i>	<i>D. Michael Risinger</i>	477
<i>Daubert</i> , Schmaubert: Criminal Defendants and the Short End of the Science Stick	<i>Susan D. Rozelle</i>	597
Protecting Factfinders from Being Overly Misled, While Still Admitting Weakly Supported Forensic Science into Evidence	<i>Michael J. Saks</i>	609