## **Tulsa Law Review**

Volume 10 | Number 2

1974

## Table of Contents / Masthead

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.utulsa.edu/tlr



Part of the Law Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Table of Contents / Masthead, 10 Tulsa L. J. v (1974).

Available at: https://digitalcommons.law.utulsa.edu/tlr/vol10/iss2/1

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by TU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Tulsa Law Review by an authorized editor of TU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact megan-donald@utulsa.edu.

## TULSA LAW JOURNAL

Volume 10	1974	Number 2
Article		
	Town of Ramapo Cannot the Rights of the Whole Working Georgina	
Forum		
Continuity and Change	in Legal Education <i>Renna</i>	ard Strickland 225
Notes and Com	ments	
	Dilemma: When Does a a Debtor's Employer Resuon of Privacy?	
The Legal Implications	of Ectogenetic Research	243
Search Incident to a Tra Gustafson Reasonable	affic Arrest: The Robins Per Se Rule	con- 256
Anderson v. Anderson: Forfeited Time?	Credit Sentencing or	267
Henrie v. Derryberry an Oklahoma Abortion I	d the Current Status of th Laws	e 273
Mills v. Mills: Is Cons Subject for Declarato	struction of Prior Judgmer ry Relief?	nts a Proper 281
Recent Develop	oments	
Private Schools to Co	C. § 1981 (1974) Requestrate with Blacks for Advister School, Inc., 363 F	mission. Gon-

Constitutional Law—Conformity in the Commitment and Release Procedures of the Insane. <i>State v. Clemons</i> , 515 P.2d 324 (Ariz. 1973).	297
Criminal Law—Municipal Ordinance Imposing Vicarious Criminal Liability upon Registered Owner of Automobile for Parking Violations Does Not Violate Due Process. City of Kansas City v. Hertz Corporation, 499 S.W.2d 449 (Mo. 1973).	300
Discovery—In Tort Action Defendant's Personal Financial Ability to Respond to the Verdict Is Not Discoverable. Sawyer v. Boufford, — N.H. —, 312 A.2d 693 (1974).	303
Evidence—Attorney-Client Privilege—Matters Relating to Receipt of Fees from a Client Are Not Usually Privileged. <i>United States v. Hodgson</i> , 492 F.2d 1175 (10th Cir. 1974).	308
Evidence—Declarations Against Penal Interests Admissible as an Exception to the Hearsay Rule. Howard v. Jessup, 519 P.2d 913 (Okla. 1973).	313
Juvenile Law—Admissibility in Criminal Prosecutions of Confessions Made Prior to Juvenile Court's Waiver of Its Exclusive Jurisdiction. State v. Loyd, — Minn. —, 212 N.W.2d 671 (1973)	316

The Tulsa Law Journal is published quarterly by the University of Tulsa College of Law, 3120 East Fourth Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103. Subscription rate: \$9.50 per volume. For this issue and all back issues, inquire of Fred B. Rothman & Company, 57 Leuning Street, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606. Address all other business communications to the Business Editor of the Tulsa Law Journal. If subscription is to be discontinued at expiration, notice of that effect should be sent to the Business Editor; otherwise, it will be renewed. Third class postage paid at Lincoln, Nebraska,