

Tulsa Law Review

Volume 49 | Number 1

2013

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.utulsa.edu/tlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 49 Tulsa L. Rev. i (2013).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.utulsa.edu/tlr/vol49/iss1/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by TU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Tulsa Law Review by an authorized editor of TU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact megan-donald@utulsa.edu.

TULSA LAW REVIEW

Volume 49

Summer 2013

Number 1

Justifications for Universal Jurisdiction: Shocking the Conscience is Not Enough	<i>Nahal Kazemi</i>	1
Revisiting <i>Hosanna-Tabor v. EEOC</i> : The Road Not Taken	<i>Ioanna Tourkochoriti</i>	47
The Mysterious Persistence of Non-Consensual Norms on the U.S. Supreme Court	<i>Aaron J. Ley, PhD, Kathleen Searles, PhD, & Cornell W. Clayton</i>	99
Muscogee Constitutional Jurisprudence: Vhaky Em Pvtaky (The Carpet under the Law)	<i>Sarah Deer & Cecilia Knapp</i>	125

NOTES & COMMENTS

The Shrinking Window of Privacy: The Decision in <i>Skinner</i> and How it Opens Wider the Prying Eyes of the Government	<i>Micah J. Petersen</i>	183
Judicial Interpretation as a Discourse on Power: An Examination of Key Decisions from the United States Supreme Court and the European Court of Justice	<i>L. Riley Kern</i>	211