

Tulsa Law Review

Volume 5 | Number 2

1968

Bibliography: Criminal Discovery

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.utulsa.edu/tlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bibliography: Criminal Discovery, 5 Tulsa L. J. 207 (1968).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.utulsa.edu/tlr/vol5/iss2/7>

This Casenote/Comment is brought to you for free and open access by TU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Tulsa Law Review by an authorized editor of TU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact megan-donald@utulsa.edu.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: CRIMINAL DISCOVERY

The use of discovery techniques in the field of criminal law is still in an embryonic stage, both in state and in federal procedure. In the civil field, however, discovery techniques have long been utilized effectively to eliminate the harshness of unwarranted surprise and to allow adverse parties a greater opportunity to gather information in order to better prepare for their respective roles in the judicial process.

In recent years, a movement for the adoption of discovery techniques similar to those currently utilized in civil procedure has come to the fore in the area of criminal procedure. Few actual changes have been accomplished, though the hue and cry has been gathering momentum.

This bibliography attempts to list all works in this field which have been published in legal periodicals since 1960.* These articles have been arranged under seven generic categories in order to facilitate the further research which is forecast in this area. They are:

- I. DEVELOPMENTS AND DOCTRINES OF CRIMINAL DISCOVERY
- II. GENERAL NATURE OF CRIMINAL DISCOVERY
- III. CRIMINAL DISCOVERY IN FEDERAL COURTS
- IV. CRIMINAL DISCOVERY UNDER VARIOUS STATE STATUTES
- V. CONFLICT WITH PRIVILEGES
- VI. RIGHTS TO PRE-TRIAL DISCOVERY
- VII. COMPARATIVE LAW DEVELOPMENTS

*Lengthy student work is uniformly identified by the word "Comment". Short student work, primarily limited to a discussion of a single decision, is uniformly identified by the words "Case Note". The title of the case has been supplied in editor's brackets as a research aid.

I. DEVELOPMENTS AND DOCTRINES OF CRIMINAL DISCOVERY

1. Everett, *Discovery in Criminal Cases—In Search of Standard*, 1964 DUKE. L. J. 477.
2. Fontana, *Discovery in Criminal Cases—A Survey of the Proposed Rule Changes*, 52 MD. L. REV. 212 (1965).
3. Garber, *Growth of Criminal Discovery*, 1 CRIM. L. Q. 3 (1962).
4. Krantz, *Pre-Trial Discovery in Criminal Cases: A Necessity for Fair and Impartial Justice*, 42 NEB. L. REV. 127 (1962).
5. Louisell, *Criminal Discovery: Dilemma Real or Apparent?*, 49 CALIF. L. REV. 56 (1961).
6. Louisell, *Criminal Discovery and Self-Incrimination: Roger Traynor Confronts the Dilemma*, 58 CALIF. L. REV. 89 (1965).
7. Louisell, *Theory of Criminal Discovery and the Practice of Criminal Law*, 14 VAND. L. REV. 921 (1961).
8. Murphy, *Criminal Discovery: What Progress Since U.S. v. Aaron Burr?*, 2 CRIM. L. BULL. 3 (1966).
9. Traynor, *Ground Lost and Found in Criminal Discovery*, 39 N.Y.U.L. REV. 228 (1964).
10. Waddington, *Criminal Discovery and the Alibi Defense*, 37 LOS ANGELES B. BULL. 7 (1961).
11. Young & Gray, *Trial by Ambush—The Case for Pre-Trial Discovery in Criminal Law*, 25 NEV. STUDENT B. J. 91 (1960).
12. Comment, *Criminal Discovery by the Prosecution: Frontier Developments and Some Proposals for the Future*, 22 N.Y.U. INTRA. L. REV. 268 (1967).
13. Comment, *Doctrine of Discovery in Criminal Law Procedure*, 43 N.D.L. REV. 333 (1967).
14. Comment, *Need for Liberalized Rules of Discovery in Criminal Procedure*, 49 MARQ. L. REV. 736 (1966).
15. Comment, *Pretrial Criminal Discovery: The Need for Expansion*, 35 U. CIN. L. REV. 195 (1966).

II. GENERAL NATURE OF CRIMINAL DISCOVERY

16. Brennan, *Criminal Prosecution: Sporting Event or Quest for Truth*, 1963 WASH. U. L. Q. 279.
17. Datz, *Discovery in Criminal Procedure*, 16 U. FLA. L. REV. 163 (1963).
18. Grady, *Discovery in Criminal Cases*, 1959 U. ILL. L. F. 695.
19. Helfand, *Comments on the 1964 Amendment to Section 1943 of the Penal Law*, 1 CRIM. L. BULL. 3 (1965).
20. Lynch, Johnson, Schweitzer, Moore & Kuh, *Panel on Pre-Trial Discovery in Criminal Cases*, 31 BROOKLYN L. REV. 320 (1965).
21. McInerney, *Discovery and Use of Grand Jury Minutes*, 1966 ANTITRUST L. SYM. 91.
22. Seltzer, *Pre-Trial Discovery of Grand Jury Testimony in Criminal Cases*, 66 DICK. L. REV. 379 (1962).
23. Steffes, *Discovery in Criminal Cases*, 10 PRAC. LAW. 61 (1964).
24. Comment, *Criminal Discovery*, 10 ST. LOUIS U.L.J. 518 (1966).
25. Comment, *Discovery and Disclosure: Dual Aspects of the Prosecutor's Role in Criminal Procedure*, 34 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 92 (1965).
26. Comment, *Discovery in Criminal Proceedings*, 13 U. FLA. L. REV. 242 (1960).
27. Comment. "Work Product" in *Criminal Discovery*, 1966 WASH. U. L. Q. 321.
28. Case Note, 32 ROCKY MT. L. REV. 246 (1960) [*Discovery—Pre-Trial Inspection of Grand Jury Testimony*].
29. Case Note, 18 VAND. L. REV. 1640 (1965) [*Criminal Law—Discovery in Criminal Cases*].
30. Case Note, 35 WASH. L. REV. 168 (1960) [*Criminal Law—Discovery in Criminal Cases*].

III. CRIMINAL DISCOVERY IN FEDERAL COURTS

31. Archer, *Discovery for Defendants in Criminal Antitrust Cases*, 20 BUS. LAW. 911 (1965).
32. Bazelon, Brennan, Brennan, Flannery, Freed, Pye & Remington, *Discovery in Federal Criminal Cases: A Symposium at the Judicial Conference of the District of Columbia Circuit*, 33 F.R.D. 53 (1963).
33. Orfield, *Discovery During Trial in Federal Criminal Cases: The Jencks Act*, 18 SW. L. J. 212 (1964).
34. Smith & McCollom, *Discovery and Inspection: Problems in Criminal Tax Cases Relating to Counter-Discovery Under Rule 16 of the New Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure*, 20 A.B.A. TAXATION SECTION 81 (1967).
35. Wexler, *Constitutional Disclosure Duty and the Jencks Act*, 40 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 206 (1966).
36. Comment, *Discovery in Criminal Antitrust Cases*, 64 COLUM. L. REV. 735 (1964).
37. Comment, *Jencks Legislation: The Status of the Accused's Federal Discovery Rights*, 38 TEXAS L. REV. 595 (1960).
38. Case Note, 48 CALIF. L. REV. 160 (1960) [*Criminal Procedure: Federal Discovery: Application of the Policy of Secretary of Grand Jury Testimony as a Limitation on the Right of Discovery after Witness has Testified at Trial*].
39. Case Note, 7 WAYNE L. REV. 487 (1961) [*Federal Criminal Procedure — Evidence — Discovery under the Jencks Act*].

IV. CRIMINAL DISCOVERY UNDER VARIOUS STATE STATUTES

40. Ellis, *Discovery in Oregon Criminal Cases*, 4 WILLAMETTE L. J. 167 (1966).
41. Langrock, *Vermont's Experiment in Criminal Discovery*, 53 A.B.A.J. 732 (1967).

42. Tessner, *Discovery in Texas Criminal Cases*, 28 TEXAS B. J. 855 (1965).
43. Comment, *Discovery in California Criminal Cases: Its Importance and its Pitfalls*, 28 S. CALIF. L. REV. 251 (1965).
44. Comment, *Discovery in Criminal Cases: The Problem in Texas*, 1 HOUS. L. REV. 158 (1963).
45. Comment, *Five Years Under State v. Thompson Criminal Pretrial Discovery in Washington*, 39 WASH. L. REV. 853 (1964).
46. Comment, *Florida's Proposed Rules of Criminal Discovery—a New Chapter in Criminal Procedure*, 19 U. FLA. L. REV. 68 (1966).
47. Comment, *Inadequacy of Pretrial Discovery in Missouri Criminal Cases*, 31 MO. L. REV. 424 (1966).
48. Comment, *Pre-Trial Criminal Discovery Proposed Illinois Rules*, 11 DE PAUL L. REV. 286 (1962).
49. Comment, *State Statute to Liberalize Criminal Discovery*, 4 HARV. J. LEGIS. 105 (1966).
50. Case Note, 4 ARIZ. L. REV. 291 (1963) [*Criminal Law—Discovery Proceedings—Trial Court's Inherent Discretionary Power not Limited by Rule 195*].
51. Case Note, 76 HARV. L. REV. 838 (1963) [*Criminal Law—In General—State Allows Pre-Trial Discovery Against Defendant Who Raises Affirmative Defense*].
52. Case Note, 48 IOWA L. REV. 696 (1963) [*Criminal Procedure—Pre-Trial Discovery Applicability of Iowa Rules of Civil Procedure*].
53. Case Note, 14 KAN. L. REV. 121 (1965) [*Criminal Law—Discovery of Witness' Prior Statements*].
54. Case Note, 46 ORE. L. REV. 231 (1967) [*Criminal Procedure—Defendant's Right to Discovery During Trial for Impeachment—Purposes*].

V. CONFLICT WITH PRIVILEGES

55. Inker & Oteri, *Discovery in Criminal Cases—The Defendant's Confession*, 50 MASS. L.Q. 342 (1965).

56. Willis, *Inroads of Pre-Trial Discovery on Attorney-Client Privilege*, 1966 ANTITRUST L. SYM. 109.
57. Comment, *Discovery in Federal Criminal Cases—Rule 16 and the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination*, 35 FORDHAM L. REV. 315 (1966).
58. Comment, *Prosecutor's Constitutional Duty to Reveal Evidence to the Defendant*, 74 YALE L. J. 136 (1964).
59. Comment, *Self-Incrimination Privilege: Barrier to Criminal Discovery?* 51 CALIF. L. REV. 135 (1963).
60. Case Note, 11 KAN. L. REV. 273 (1963) [*Discovery—Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure—Right of the Accused to Production and Inspection of his Statement Given to the Government*].
61. Case Note, 35 U. COLO. L. REV. 290 (1963) [*Self-Incrimination — Discovery of Defendant's Evidence Which He Intends to Use at Trial*].

VI. RIGHTS TO PRE-TRIAL DISCOVERY

62. Ezer, *Pre-Trial Discovery by Defendants in Federal Criminal Cases*, 1 L. IN TRANSITION Q. 214 (1964).
63. Fletcher, *Pre-Trial Discovery in State Criminal Cases*, 12 STAN. L. REV. 293 (1960).
64. Redden, *Right of the Defendant to Discovery in Criminal Prosecutions*, 22 ALA. LAW. 115 (1967).
65. Comment, *Bases for Pre-Trial Discovery in Criminal Cases*, 21 MONT. L. REV. 189 (1960).
66. Comment, *Pre-Trial Discovery in Criminal Cases*, 17 WYO. L. J. 192 (1963).
67. Comment, *Trial Practice: Pre-Trial Discovery in Criminal Cases*, 13 OKLA. L. REV. 97 (1960).
68. Case Note, 61 MICH. L. REV. 987 (1963) [*Criminal Procedure—Discovery—Right of Prosecution to Pre-Trial Discovery*].
69. Case Note, 47 MINN. L. REV. 693 (1963) [*Criminal Procedure: Defendant Denied Discovery of His Pre-Trial Statements in a Federal Court*].